

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

RECEIVED 0 6 AUG 2004

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification	on of Transmittal of International xamination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
PU020269 International application No.	International filing date (day/mo	onth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
miernational approaches its.			04 June 2002 (04.06.2002)
PCT/US03/17369 International Patent Classification (IPC)	03 June 2003 (03.06.2003) or national classification and IPC		
			205 206 3 03 3 06
IPC(7): H04B 1/16, 1/06, 7/00 and US	Cl.: 455/131, 136, 151.2, 164.1,	205, 240.1, 260,	293, 290, 3.03, 3.00,
Applicant	·		
THOMSON LICENSING S.A.			
' Examining Authority and	nary examination report has be is transmitted to the applicant	according to A	Tucie 50.
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of sheets, including	g this cover she	et.
		e report and/or	description, claims and/or drawings sheets containing rectifications made inistrative Instructions under the PCT).
These annexes consist of	a total of sheets.		
3. This report contains indic	ations relating to the following	g items:	
I Basis of the re	port		
II Priority			
III Non-establishn	nent of report with regard to n	ovelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity	of invention		
V Reasoned state	ment under Article 35(2) with itations and explanations supp	regard to nove	lty, inventive step or industrial
<u></u>			
VI Certain docum			
	s in the international application rations on the international app		
VIII Certain observ	ations on the international app	ncation	
	T Do	te of completic	on of this report
Date of submission of the demand	i		!
30 December 2003 (30.12.2003)	20	July 2004 (20.0°	7.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/US A	thorized officer	gre with fits
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents	Ed	ian Orgad /	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450	ĭ	lephone No. 70	3-305-4223
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International	application	r
PCT/US03/1	7369	

<u> </u>	Basis of the report
	With regard to the elements of the international application:*
••	the international application as originally filed.
	the description:
	pages 1-4 as originally filed
	pages NONE , filed with the demand
	pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	the claims:
	pages 5 and 6 , as originally filed
	pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	the drawings:
	pages 1-2, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand
	pages NONE, filed with the demand, filed with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description:
	pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
	pages NONE filed with the letter of
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
	language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under uns term.
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the
	international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in printed form.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
	has been furnished.
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages NONE
	the claims, Nos. NonE
	the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go
	beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
th	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to i is report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). * Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.
•	tast tolemontaria more communication and anticommunication and ant

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US03/17369

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
STATEMENT	·				
Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	1-17 NONE		YES NO	
Inventive Step (IS)		2, 7-11 and 13 1, 3-6, 12 and 14-17		YES NO	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-17 NONE		YES NO	
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Please See Continuation Sheet					
				,	
				·	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)



International application No. PCT/US03/17369

Supplemental Box
(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claim 1, 3-6, 12 and 14-17 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hunsinger et al (US 5,850,415) in view of Cashen et al (US 5,878,336).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Hunsinger teaches a receiver for receiving an audio file signal (abstract) a decoder for demodulating said audio file signal (fig. 16, element 286). However, Hunsinger fails to teach a processor for polling said decoder for a loss of a phase lock in said demodulating of said audio file signal. However, in the same field of endeavor, Cashen teaches a processor for polling said decoder for a loss of a phase lock in said demodulating of said audio file signal (col. 3, lines 11-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Cashen's teachings of a processor for polling said decoder for a loss of a phase lock in said demodulating of said audio file signal with Hunsinger's digital broadcasting system in order to discontinuously operate the receiver in a communication that requires reception and evaluation of data field in their entirety.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Hunsinger fails to specifically disclose said receiver comprises a 900MHz radio frequency reception circuitry. However, official notice is taken that a receiver comprises a 900MHz radio frequency reception circuitry is very well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a receiver comprising a 900MHz radio frequency reception circuitry with Hunsinger in order to provide the user with a broader range of frequencies.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Hunsinger fails to specifically disclose said decoder comprises an eight to four modulation EFM decoder. However, official notice is taken that an eight to four modulation EFM decoder is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use an eight to four modulation EFM decoder with Hunsinger's receiver in order to translate the original data into its original format.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 16 and 17, Hunsinger teaches said decoder outputs a digital audio stream (see abstract) but fails to disclose that it conforms to an IS2 audio stream. However, official notice is taken that IS2 audio streams are well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use IS2 audio stream with Hunsinger's existing audio stream in order to have 16 bits represent left channel audio samples, and the other 16 bits represent right channel audio Samples.

Claims 2,7-11 and 13 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest:

Regarding claims 2 and 13, the prior art of record fails to specifically disclose said processor resets and reinitializes said decoder in response to said loss in said phase lock.

Regarding claim 7-11, Regarding claim 7, the prior art of record teaches a receiver for receiving an audio file signal (abstract) a decoder for demodulating said audio file signal, a processor for polling said decoder for a loss of a phase lock in said demodulating of

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Continuation Sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US03/17369

said audio file signal (fig. 16, element 286). However, the prior art of record fails to specifically disclose said processor resets and reinitializes said decoder in response to said loss in said phase lock.			
			4
	ŧ		
	·		•
		,	
	,	/	
			•
			•
			•
•			
			•
	•		
		·	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Continuation Sheet) (July 1998)